Smith (TX) Smith (WA) Solomon Souder Spence Stenholm Talent. Tanner Tate Taylor (NC) Tejeda

Thomas Thornberry Torkildsen Traficant. Upton Visclosky Vucanovich Walsh Watts (OK) Weldon (FL) Weldon (PA) Weller White Whitfield Wicker Wise Wolf Young (AK) Young (FL) Zeliff Zimmer

#### NAYS-174

Gephardt Abercrombie Pastor Gibbons Green (TX) Payne (NJ) Ackerman Andrews Pavne (VA) Baesler Gutierrez Pelosi Baldacci Hamilton Peterson (MN) Barcia Hancock Petri Barrett (WI) Hastert Pomeroy Hastings (FL) Becerra Poshard Beilenson Hefner Quinn Hilliard Bentsen Rangel Hinchey Berman Richardson Blumenauer Hostettler Riggs Hoyer Jackson (IL) Borski Rohrabacher Boucher Jackson-Lee Rose Roybal-Allard Browder (TX) Brown (CA) Jacobs Royce Rush Brown (FL) Jefferson Brown (OH) Johnson (SD) Sabo Bryant (TX) Cardin Sanders Johnson, E. B. Johnston Sawver Chapman Kanjorski Scarborough Clay Kaptur Schroeder Kennedy (MA) Clayton Schumer Clement Kennelly Scott Sensenbrenner Clyburn Kildee Coleman Kleczka Serrano Collins (IL) Klug LaFalce Skaggs Collins (MI) Skelton Combest Lantos Slaughter Conyers Levin Spratt Lewis (GA) Cooley Stark Costello Lofgren Stearns Lowey Cramer Stockman Luther Cummings Stokes Malonev Studds DeFazio Manton Stump Markey DeLauro Stupak Taylor (MS) Dellums Matsui Deutsch McCarthy Thompson Dingell McDermott Thornton McHale Thurman Dixon Doggett McKinney Tiahrt McNulty Torres Durbin Meehan Engel Towns Eshoo Menendez Velazquez Evans Millender-Vento McDonald Volkmer Farr Miller (CA) Fattah Walker Minge Wamp Fazio Fields (LA) Mink Ward Moakley Filner Waters Watt (NC) Flake Moran Foglietta Nadler Waxman Williams Neumann Ford Frank (MA) Oberstan Woolsey Obey Wynn Frost Furse Owens Yates Gejdenson Pallone

## NOT VOTING-18

Houghton Armey Peterson (FL) Baker (LA) Lincoln Ramstad Callahan McCrery Roth Emerson McDade Tauzin Torricelli Fields (TX) Meek Hansen Olver Wilson

# □ 1754

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

## GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. REGULA. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on H.R. 3662, and that I may include tabular and extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. RADANOVICH). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Ohio? Îhere was no objection.

### PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably detained and unable to make votes 249, 250, 251, and 252. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes" on all four.

#### LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. FAZIO of California asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. FAZIO of California. Mr. Speaker, I take this time for the purpose of inquiring of the distinguished majority whip about the schedule for next week. I would be happy to yield for whatever description of the schedule he would like to provide.

Mr. DELAY. I thank the gentleman

for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, the House has concluded its legislative business for the week. On Monday, June 24, we will meet in pro forma session Of course, there will be no legislative business and no votes that day.
On Tuesday, June 25, the House will

meet at 10:30 a.m. for morning hour, and 12 noon for legislative business. Members should note that we do expect recorded votes close to 1 p.m. Please be advised that we will have a full day planned for Tuesday, June 25.

The House will first debate H.R. 2531, the House Parent Exemption Act, which is on the corrections day calendar. We will then take up under suspension of the rules H.R. 3604, the Safe Drinking Water Act. After consideration of the suspension on Tuesday, the House will consider the rule for H.R. 3666, the VA-HUD appropriations, and the bill itself.

On Wednesday, June 26, and the balance of the week, the House will consider the appropriation bill for the Department of Transportation, and possibly for the Departments of Labor and Health and Human Services.

Mr. Speaker, I would also like to remind Members that we may take up a resolution holding the President's aides in contempt of Congress. It is our hope that the President will be forthcoming with the subpoenaed Travelegate documents before next week. However, in the event that these key documents are not provided, we may need to act on the contempt resolution.

Mr. Speaker, we hope to finish legislative business and start the July 4th district period by 2 p.m. on Friday, June 28. Members should be prepared to return to Washington on Tuesday, July 9. We expect recorded votes to be held

that day after 5 p.m.
Mr. FAZIO of California. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the whip for assuring members that 5 o'clock is still the time for votes on that Tuesday return after the Fourth of July break.

Is it likely that given the fact that the Labor-HHS bill is not yet marked up and probably will not be until the end of Tuesday of next week, that we probably are not likely to see it on the floor? Is it realistic that it will be the two appropriations bills, Transportation, VA-HUD?

Mr. DELAY. Well, the reason I said possibly consideration of the Labor-HHS appropriations bill is that hopefully we can work some sort of agreement out between the ranking member, Mr. OBEY, and the chairman, Mr. LIV-INGSTON, so that we could go to that bill. If that is not possible, then we may not do the bill next week.

Mr. FAZIO of California. Mr. Speaker, I have another question. Could the gentleman tell me when the first reconciliation bill is likely to hit the floor. I know many thought it would be before us in the next week. I know also that the gentleman from Missouri [Mr. GEPHARDT], the Democratic leader, has written to the Speaker asking for some sort of clarification as to the intent of the majority with regard to welfare, Medicaid, and taxes, whether they would be tied together or come separately, would they or would they not be part of the reconciliation, and what requirements might the Committee on Rules impose as to how we could construct a viable Democratic alternative.

Is the gentleman in a position to give us any understanding about when that might come and how it might come?

#### $\Box$ 1800

Mr. DELAY. If the gentleman would continue to yield, those decisions have not been made as yet, and we are consulting with as many Members as possible to decide which is the best way to proceed.

We expect that the first reconciliation bill, if indeed we split up the reconciliation bill, would come soon after the July 4th break. We have every intention of working with the minority's leadership to make sure that the minority will have plenty of time in which to craft any substitute that they may want to offer.

Mr. FAZIO of California. Mr. Chairman, I appreciate the gentleman's assurance, and I look forward to finalizing the arrangements, because I want to maintain, very clearly, that the minority is very anxious to participate in the discussions, whether we take them up as a package or individually, and we look forward to providing an alternative.

I want to find out from the majority whip, if he can tell us, what will be the fate of the so-called reform week, which we understood was coming that week on our return. We now have backed up several key appropriations bills, we have just heard about the need to bring up the reconciliation bills, and we pick up anecdotally that many of the reforms are falling by the wayside.

I am wondering, is reform week still in our future, or has it perhaps been drifting off into oblivion?